PROJECT ZERO Data Monitoring Packet

September, 2002 Statewide Implementation

Prepared by:

Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division Service Delivery Administration Michigan Family Independence Agency

PROJECT ZERO SITES

Phase I - 6 Sites	7/01/1996	Phase II - 6 Sites	10/01/1997
Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Alpena*	7/30/1999	Berrien*	6/22/1999
Menominee*	5/20/1998	Hillsdale*	7/30/1999
Midland*	12/16/1998	Kent*	8/31/1999
Ottawa*	9/15/1997	Manistee*5	/98, 4/99, 6/99
Wayne:		Wayne:	
Romulus*	6/22/1999	Greydale*	2/29/2000
Tireman*	7/28/1999	Warren/Conner*	

Phase III - 23 Sites 10/01/1998

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Calhoun		Benzie* Charlevoix* Emmet* Barry* Eaton*	99, 6/99, 8/99/ 9/99 5/99, 6/99, 8/99 4/99, 8/99, 9/99 5/21/1999 8/10/1999 11/30/2000 10/04/2000	Fort Wayne* Fullerton* Hamtramck* Lincoln Park*	7/26/1999 3/11/2000 9/27/2000 11/15/1999 9/29/1999

^{*} Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

PROJECT ZERO SITES, continued

Phase IV - 35 Sites 10/01/1999

		Upper Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved		
		Alger* Schoolcraft* Delta* Gogebic* Ontonagon*	6/07/2000 6/2000 2/09/2001		
Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Antrim*Branch*Cass*Clinton*Shiawassee*Gladwin*	5/18/2000 3/07/2000 5/27/2000 5/16/2001	Grand Traverse* Kalamazoo* Kalkaska* Lenawee* Oakland* Oscoda*	9/29/2000 10/01/1999 3/29/2000 3/29/2000	Montmorency*	7/28/2000
Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Medbury/Concord* McNichols/Goddard* Maddelein* Kercheval/Townsend*	9/27/2000 8/01/2000	Redford*Greenfield/Joy*Inkster*Schoolcraft/Stansbur	1/19/2000 10/31/2000 3/22/2000	Glendale/Trumbull* Grand River/Warren* Highland Park*	8/17/2000

^{*} Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

PROJECT ZERO SITES, continued

Phase V – 19 Sites 06/01/2000

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Alcona* Allegan* Baraga* Cheboygan* Chippewa* Crawford* Dickinson*		Houghton*losco*Keweenaw*Livingston*Luce*Mackinac*	9/22/2000 10/11/2000 10/11/2000 6/20/2000 9/13/2000	Monroe* Ogemaw* Otsego*	2/23/2001 9/08/2000 8/08/2000 9/9/2000 10/09/2000

Phase VI – 15 Sites 10/01/2000 Statewide Implementation

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Arenac*Bay Clare*Gratiot*Huron*	3/23/2001	Ingham Isabella* Jackson Lapeer Macomb	4/03/2001	Muskegon Osceola* Saginaw Tuscola Washtenaw	5/17/2001

^{*} Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

Project Zero

roject Zero is a part of the Family Independence Agency's continuing welfare reform initiative, *To Strengthen Michigan Families (TSMF)*, which assists recipients making the transition from dependency to self-sufficiency.

Project Zero began in 1996 as a small research project in six areas of the state designed to identify certain personal characteristics, demographic information, recipient strengths and barriers to employment--real and perceived--of FIP recipients.

The purpose of Project Zero is twofold. First, it is to identify, through the survey noted above, barriers to employment that are unique to recipients without earned income. Secondly, the project utilized the data findings to assist state agencies and community organizations to develop and implement programs and services in all sites aimed at decreasing the number of recipients without earned income.

The first six Project Zero sites became operational in July, 1996 and additional sites were phased in over a period of years. Final statewide implementation was complete in October, 2000.

Project Zero Data Monitoring Packet

Data for the Project Zero data monitoring packet is obtained from the Family Independence Agency's Client Information System (CIS). The information is point-in-time data and is obtained at the beginning of each month. This data reflects the case transactions that occurred during the previous month.

Due to the nature of the public assistance caseload, the data displayed here represents a picture of a population that is constantly changing. Families move on and off public assistance, gain or lose employment and move into or out of the project area. Cases that are transferred into a project site from other parts of the state are immediately counted as part of the target caseload.

With statewide implementation (effective October 1, 2000) Project Zero is now a service delivery mechanism for all clients. The goal is 100 percent employment for all target cases. However, there are additional measures of success in Project Zero, including:

• The drop in the number and percentage of cases without earned income.

- Movement of recipients out of public assistance as demonstrated by the declining caseload.
- An increasing number of recipients who achieve self-sufficiency and improved lives for themselves and their children.
- Community collaboration and responsibility in developing support systems and removing employment barriers.

The goal of Project Zero is to reduce to zero the number of FIP households that have **no** earned income. Thus all cases required to work will be employed and have earned income. Cases are required to work if there is **any member** age 16 or older who:

- is a mandatory Work First participant or
- is not employed full time or
- is sanctioned or penalized *and*
- the case is open more than 60 days.

The formula for determining the number of cases required to work is:

(FIP cases) minus (cases open less than 60 days) minus (Work First deferred cases, i.e., those not required to work).

Recipients are <u>deferred</u> from Work First or are meeting participation requirements and are **not** required to work if they meet one of the criteria listed below:

- Parent of a child under 3 months.
- Single parent who personally provides care for a child under age 6 when adequate child care is not available.
- Under 16 or over 65 years of age.
- Full-time students between the ages of 16 and 18.
- Minor parent who is in school full-time.
- Temporary incapacity (expected to last 3 months or less).
- Applicant for SSI through the Social Security Administration.
- Providing care for an incapacitated spouse: Note Effective 10/01/02 the deferral for caring for an incapacitated spouse will require an assessment of need.
- Providing care for an SSI child or a child eligible for the Early-On program: This deferral is changed from automatic to mandating an assessment of the circumstances in the family. Parents must participate in Work First unless they are participating in Early On activity or are needed in the home to care for the child on SSI. Parents with children in

school or able to attend day care will only be deferred to the extent that parental care is needed.

- Full-time volunteer for VISTA, Job Corps or AmeriCorp.
- Participants in transitional housing programs funded by HUD or MSHDA.
- Experiencing a temporary critical event, such as homelessness or domestic violence (deferral of up to 3 months at local office discretion).

The focus of Project Zero is on achieving 100% employment for clients in the project sites who are required to work. To help clients obtain employment, FIA staff will be working with them to eliminate barriers to employment and promote independence.

Additional resources are being developed within the agency as well as efforts to coordinate with other departments such as Transportation, MSHDA, and the Department of Career Development. Community agencies are also being asked for their assistance in providing resources for the project effort. Training in Strength Based/Solution Focused programs has taken place for staff involved in the project.


Data Source: SW-210.

[lee]Project0/pz2002.doc./10-07-02

PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL STATEWIDE SITES

Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data


Percentage of Cases with Earned Income



PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL WAYNE COUNTY SITES

Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income




Wayne County sites Tireman, Greydale, Warren/Conner, Forest/Ellery, Fort Wayne, Fullerton, Hamtramck, Lincoln Park, Taylor, Medbury/Concord, McNichols, Maddelein, Kercheval, Redford, Greenfield/Joy, Inkster, Schoolcraft, Glendale, Grand River/Warren and Highland Park.

PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL OUTSTATE SITES

Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income



ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, SEPTEMBER 2002

		September 1992*	Total	Number	Number of	ΤΔΕ	RGET GROUP CA	SES
	COUNTY	AFDC Caseload	FIP Caseload	Open < 60 Davs	Work First Deferred Cases	Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
						UI Cases		
1	Alcona	155	44	12	30	2	50%	50%
2	Alger	93	19	4	14	1	100%	0%
3	Allegan	1,118	265	55	186	24	67%	33%
4	Alpena	587	158	49	97	12	42%	58%
5	Antrim	246	45	9	34	2	50%	50%
6	Arenac	387	86	10	69	7	29%	71%
7	Baraga	184	26	10	14	2	0%	100%
8	Barry	671	150	42	95	13	100%	0%
9	Bay	2,438	590	134	333	123	55%	45%
10	Benzie	157	30	11	17	2	50%	50%
11	Berrien	4,351	1,392	268	918	206	56%	44%
12	Branch	652	149	67	61	21	57%	43%
13	Calhoun	3,531	1,020	242	630	148	54%	46%
14	Cass	1,032	258	70	171	17	94%	6%
15	Charlevoix	282	45	12	31	2	100%	0%
16	Cheboygan	412	96	27	67	2	0%	100%
17	Chippewa	552	117	38	66	13	54%	46%
18	Clare	938	199	33	145	21	38%	62%
19	Clinton	502	97	20	68	9	56%	44%
20	Crawford	258	55	13	36	6	67%	33%
21	Delta	667	134	30	78	26	81%	19%
22	Dickinson	286	71	20	49	2	100%	0%
23	Eaton	886	266	61	160	45	62%	38%
24	Emmet	253	60	19	40	1	0%	100%
25	Genesee	16,817	5,557	813	3,171	1,573	56%	44%
26	Gladwin	597	114	22	85	7	43%	57%
27	Gogebic	303	93	16	59	18	72%	28%
28	Grand Traverse	547	124	26	81	17	65%	35%
29	Gratiot	753	128	39	78	11	64%	36%
30	Hillsdale	674	146	42	84	20	70%	30%
31	Houghton	573	102	21	66	15	93%	7%
32	Huron	566	92	27	52	13	54%	46%
33	Ingham	6,048	1,443	279	929	235	67%	33%
34	Ionia	821	199	60	117	22	36%	64%
35	losco	427	102	14	76	12	42%	58%
36	Iron	168	52	15	31	6	100%	0%
37	Isabella	923	208	42	139	27	37%	63%
38	Jackson	3,136	966	153	603	210	40%	60%
39	Kalamazoo	4,256	1,322	276	757	289	38%	62%
40	Kalkaska	257	42	16	24	2	100%	0%
41	Kent	7,803	3,549	591	2,235	723	55%	45%
42	Keweenaw	15	3	2	1	0	0%	100%

^{*} September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, SEPTEMBER 2002

		0 / 1 /000*	Total	Number	Number of	ΤΔΕ	RGET GROUP CA	SES
	COUNTY	September 1992*	FIP	Open	Work First	Number	Percent With	Percent Without
		AFDC Caseload	Caseload	< 60 Days	Deferred Cases	of Cases	Earned Income	Earned Income
43	Lake	362	114	26	76	12	50%	50%
44	Lapeer	908	188	34	116	38	34%	66%
45	Leelanau	113	34	11	23	0	0%	0%
46	Lenawee	1,495	362	91	234	37	78%	22%
47	Livingston	720	114	25	81	8	37%	63%
48	Luce	111	36	10	22	4	100%	0%
49	Mackinac	95	27	7	20	0	0%	100%
50	Macomb	6,108	1,713	274	1,162	277	50%	50%
51	Manistee	400	89	17	56	16	50%	50%
52	Marquette	848	167	40	97	30	57%	43%
53	Mason	503	137	27	94	16	62%	38%
54	Mecosta	745	154	45	97	12	58%	42%
55	Menominee	243	87	24	53	10	50%	50%
56	Midland	1,169	215	42	136	37	51%	49%
57	Missaukee*	263						
58	Monroe	2,000	511	100	369	42	45%	55%
59	Montcalm	1,134	229	44	138	47	43%	57%
60	Montmorency	202	33	10	21	2	0%	100%
61	Muskegon	4,660	2,146	338	1,064	744	36%	64%
62	Newaygo	765	231	52	157	22	27%	73%
63	Oakland	10,819	2,712	389	1,850	473	53%	47%
64	Oceana	483	197	49	97	51	45%	55%
65	Ogemaw	545	126	26	82	18	61%	39%
66	Ontonagon	124	30	6	18	6	83%	17%
67	Osceola	497	113	26	79	8	50%	50%
68	Oscoda	172	48	12	33	3	0%	100%
69	Otsego	191	63	16	42	5	80%	20%
70	Ottawa	1,238	345	87	231	27	48%	52%
71	Presque Isle	189	32	12	18	2	100%	0%
72	Roscommon	572	124	29	88	7	57%	43%
73	Saginaw	7,302	2,962	386	1,473	1,103	57%	43%
74	St. Clair	2,745	748	182	370	196	50%	50%
75 70	St. Joseph	1,026	252	59	180	13	46%	54%
76	Sanilac	729	185	56	98 22	31	29%	71%
77	Schoolcraft	199	35	12		1	0%	100%
78	Shiawassee	1,196	240 197	54 56	148	38 29	79%	21% 45%
79 80	Tuscola	958 1,811	197 545	146	112 325	<u>29</u> 74	55% 55%	45% 45%
80	Van Buren Washtenaw		908	146	325 513			45% 66%
81	Wayne	3,048 90,574	908 27,345	2,958	15,097	9,290	34% 39%	61%
83		90,574	27,345 225	∠,958 64	136	9,290 25	40%	60%
ంు	Statewide Total	213,202	63,633	9,725	37,025	∠5 16,883	40% 45%	55%
	Statewide Total	213,202	03,033	9,123	31,023	10,003	45%	33%

^{*} September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families.

^{**} Missaukee County is now part of a dual county - Missaukee/Wexford - and data is combined under Wexford. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, SEPTEMBER 2002

	WAYNE COUNTY	September 1992*	Total	Number	Number of		RGET GROUP CA	
	DISTRICT OFFICES	AFDC Caseload	FIP Caseload	Open < 60 Days	Work First Deferred Cases	Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
	ZONE VII**							
41	Fort Wayne	3,343	1,460	141	952	367	43%	57%
43	Glendale	2,671	1,940	214	975	751	41%	59%
49	Grand River/Warren	2,050	877	127	424	326	44%	56%
55	Hamtramck	4,758	939	108	621	210	64%	36%
56	Highland Park	2,383	724	56	353	315	33%	67%
57	Medbury	2,714	1,102	89	514	499	39%	61%
59	McNichols/Goddard	4,346	1,205	108	607	490	32%	68%
73	Forest/Ellery	2,594	1,249	98	706	445	37%	63%
74	Kercheval	2,815	932	94	461	377	43%	57%
76	Maddelein		2,236	249	1,164	823	37%	63%
79	Warren/Conner	2,943	1,576	183	767	626	38%	62%
80	Lafayette/Hospital Corridor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	TOTAL	30,617	14,240	1,467	7,544	5,229	40%	60%
	ZONE VIII**							
13	Redford	3,970	920	101	672	147	47%	53%
14	Lincoln Park	3,092	857	85	579	193	37%	63%
15	Greydale	4,706	2,121	231	1,221	669	37%	63%
17	Greenfield/Joy	4,385	1,680	198	1,055	427	37%	63%
18	Taylor	3,328	796	115	508	173	39%	61%
19	Inkster	3,001	1,552	182	920	450	49%	51%
32	Tireman	3,223	1,800	160	841	799	29%	71%
35	Schoolcraft	4,131	1,744	250	909	585	38%	62%
39	Fullerton	4,303	1,597	164	820	613	39%	61%
	TOTAL	34,139	13,067	1,486	7,525	4,056	38%	62%
99	WAYNE - OTHER	1	38	5	28	5	20%	80%
WAY	NE COUNTY TOTAL	71,316	27,345	2,958	15,097	9,290	39%	61%
OUT	STATE TOTAL	122,628	36,288	6,767	21,928	7,593	52%	48%
STA	TE TOTALS	213,203	63,633	9,725	37,025	16,883	45%	55%
PRC	JECT SITE TOTAL	N/A	63,633	9,725	37,025	16,883	45%	55%

^{*} September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

^{**} Wayne County Districts have been realigned into Zones VII and VIII as outlined above effective August, 2002.

CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

			JULY, 1996	6	Α	UGUST, 200)2		SEPTEME	3ER, 2002	
	COUNTY	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
1	Alcona	73	26	36%	2	0	0%	2	1	50%	0%
2	Alger	53	23	43%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	0%
3	Allegan	402	217	54%	22	9	41%	24	8	33%	-11%
4	Alpena	294	106	36%	11	2	18%	12	7	58%	250%
5	Antrim	80	36	45%	1	0	0%	2	1	50%	0%
6	Arenac	175	75	43%	3	1	33%	7	5	71%	0%
7	Baraga	104	54	52%	2	1	0%	2	2	100%	100%
8	Barry	254	114	45%	23	6	26%	13	0	0%	-100%
9	Bay	1,397	566	41%	123	55	45%	123	55	45%	0%
10	Benzie	46	19	41%	3	1	33%	2	1	50%	0%
11	Berrien	2,031	1,073	53%	196	80	41%	206	91	44%	14%
12	Branch	245	131	53%	24	14	58%	21	9	43%	-36%
13	Calhoun	1,681	913	54%	147	58	39%	148	68	46%	17%
14	Cass	471	307	65%	19	6	32%	17	1	6%	-83%
15	Charlevoix	75	33	44%	3	0	0%	2	0	0%	0%
16	Cheboygan	160	58	36%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	100%
17	Chippewa	233	115	49%	17	8	47%	13	6	46%	-25%
18	Clare	495	242	49%	23	15	65%	21	13	62%	-13%
19	Clinton	223	91	41%	7	3	43%	9	4	44%	0%
20	Crawford	159	65	41%	6	4	67%	6	2	33%	-50%
21	Delta	411	207	50%	26	0	0%	26	5	19%	0%
22	Dickinson	185	105	57%	3	0	0%	2	0	0%	0%
23	Eaton	463	234	51%	48	16	33%	45	17	38%	6%
24	Emmet	102	40	39%	0	0	0%	1	1	0%	0%
25	Genesee	9,926	6,135	62%	1,565	637	41%	1,573	689	44%	8%
26	Gladwin	269	91 144	34%	8	8	100%	/	4	57%	-50%
27	Gogebic	220		65%	19	10	53%	18	5	28%	-50%
28	Grand Traverse	201 378	87 201	43%	14	5 5	36%	17 11	6	35%	20% -20%
29	Gratiot	228		53%	14 31		36% 26%	20	4	36%	-20% -25%
30 31	Hillsdale	307	129 118	57% 38%	31 18	8	6%	20 15	6	30% 7%	-25% 0%
32	Houghton Huron	196	76	39%	16 14	6	43%	13	6	46%	0%
33	Ingham	3,323	1,595	48%	280	100	36%	235	78	33%	-22%
34	Ingnam	3,323	1,595	50%	30	16	53%	235 22	14	64%	-13%
35	losco	194	87	45%	13	5	38%		7	58%	40%
36	Iron	97	45	45%	7	0	0%	6	0	0%	0%
37	Isabella	420	206	49%	29	15	52%	27	17	63%	13%
38	Jackson	1,620	895	55%	234	135	58%	210	125	60%	-7%
39	Kalamazoo	2,054	1,225	60%	299	190	64%	289	179	62%	-6%
40	Kalkaska	68	34	50%	<u>299</u> 1	0	0%	209	0	02 %	0%
41	Kent	3,889	2,383	61%	691	301	44%	723	328	45%	9%
42	Keweenaw	3,869	2,303	50%	091	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%
44	INCWECHAW	1 4		JU /0	<u> </u>	ı U	U /0	U	U	U /0	U /0

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

			JULY, 1996		Α	UGUST, 200)2		SEPTEME	BER, 2002	
	COUNTY	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
43	Lake	159	91	57%	17	5	29%	12	6	50%	20%
44	Lapeer	309	134	43%	34	18	53%	38	25	66%	39%
45	Leelanau	25	11	44%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%
46	Lenawee	697	392	56%	38	10	26%	37	8	22%	-20%
47	Livingston	272	141	52%	7	3	43%	8	5	63%	67%
48	Luce	74	35	47%	4	2	50%	4	0	0%	-100%
49	Mackinac	44	23	52%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	-100%
50	Macomb	3,358	1,791	53%	279	126	45%	277	139	50%	10%
51	Manistee	199	94	47%	13	5	38%	16	8	50%	60%
52	Marquette	481	183	38%	26	11	42%	30	13	43%	18%
53	Mason	222	101	45%	17	5	29%	16	6	38%	20%
54	Mecosta	384	206	54%	11	3	27%	12	5	42%	67%
55	Menominee	116	57	49%	11	5	45%	10	5	50%	0%
56	Midland	676	284	42%	41	16	39%	37	18	49%	13%
57	Missaukee*	127	54	43%							
58	Monroe	948	466	49%	31	13	42%	42	23	55%	77%
59	Montcalm	422	229	54%	48	31	65%	47	27	57%	-13%
60	Montmorency	86	30	35%	5	4	80%	2	2	100%	-50%
61	Muskegon	2,344	1,370	58%	750	465	62%	744	473	64%	2%
62	Newaygo	297	183	62%	18	11	61%	22	16	73%	45%
63	Oakland	6,042	3,631	60%	464	205	44%	473	221	47%	8%
64	Oceana	191	83	43%	48	25	52%	51	28	55%	12%
65	Ogemaw	268	97	36%	12	2	17%	18	7	39%	250%
66	Ontonagon	60	34	57%	6	1	17%	6	1	17%	0%
67	Osceola	266	141	53%	7	3	43%	8	4	50%	33%
68	Oscoda	91	44	48%	3	2	67%	3	3	100%	0%
69	Otsego	72	33	46%	2	0	0%	5	1	20%	0%
70	Ottawa	376	161	43%	28	15	54%	27	14	52%	-7%
71	Presque Isle	65	24	37%	3	0	0%	2	0	0%	0%
72	Roscommon	307	118	38%	3	0	0%	7	3	43%	0%
73	Saginaw	4,616	2,435	53%	1,127	472	42%	1,103	471	43%	0%
74	St. Clair	1,207	587	49%	207	98	47%	196	98	50%	0%
75	St. Joseph	396	248	63%	11	5	45%	13	7	54%	40%
76	Sanilac	224	85	38%	26	18	69%	31	22	71%	22%
77	Schoolcraft	121	66	55%	2	1	50%	1_	1	100%	0%
78	Shiawassee	488	223	46%	33	7	21%	38	8	21%	14%
79	Tuscola	355	155	44%	28	13	46%	29	13	45%	0%
80	Van Buren	756	396	52%	72	29	40%	74	33	45%	14%
81	Washtenaw	1,663	970	58%	235	151	64%	222	146	66%	-3%
82	Wayne	60,090	46,029	77%	9,293	5,570	60%	9,290	5,699	61%	2%
83	Wexford**	242	117	48%	27	14	52%	25	15	60%	7%
	Statewide Total	122,697	80,034	65%	16,967	9,087	54%	16,883	9,342	55%	3%

^{*} Missaukee County is now part of a dual county - Missaukee/Wexford - and data is combined under Wexford. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding. -14-

CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

WAYNE COUNT	V DISTRICT		JULY, 1996		Α	UGUST, 200)2		SEPTEME	BER, 2002	
OFFICE		Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
ZONE VII											
41 Fort Wayne		2,664	2,038	77%	387	217	56%	367	211	57%	-3%
43 Glendale		1,511	1,119	74%	745	434	58%	751	440	59%	1%
49 Grand River/	Warren	1,677	1,360	81%	311	167	54%	326	181	56%	8%
55 Hamtramck		2,204	1,710	78%	222	85	38%	210	75	36%	-12%
56 Highland Par	rk	1,844	1,480	80%	314	203	65%	315	211	67%	4%
57 Medbury		1,950	1,588	81%	510	294	58%	499	305	61%	4%
59 McNichols/G	Goddard	3,332	2,674	80%	457	310	68%	490	333	68%	7%
73 Forest/Ellery	1	1,534	1,156	75%	445	276	62%	445	280	63%	1%
74 Kercheval		1,684	1,265	75%	370	208	56%	377	216	57%	4%
76 Maddelein*					839	516	62%	823	519	63%	1%
79 Warren/Conr	ner	1,997	1,682	84%	596	365	61%	626	391	62%	7%
80 Lafayette/Hosp	oital Corridor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL		20,397	16,072	79%	5,196	3,075	59%	5,229	3,162	60%	3%
ZONE VIII											
13 Redford		2,181	1,520	70%	167	88	53%	147	78	53%	-11%
14 Lincoln Park		1,946	1,303	67%	189	108	57%	193	122	63%	13%
15 Greydale/Gra	and River	3,115	2,473	79%	681	423	62%	669	423	63%	0%
17 Greenfield/Jo	ру	2,977	2,352	79%	434	263	61%	427	268	63%	2%
18 Taylor		1,988	1,203	61%	192	117	61%	173	105	61%	-10%
19 Inkster		2,826	1,813	64%	473	238	50%	450	231	51%	-3%
32 Tireman/Cen	ntral	1,921	1,458	76%	788	553	70%	799	570	71%	3%
35 Schoolcraft/S	Stansbury	3,032	2,349	77%	575	353	61%	585	361	62%	2%
39 Fullerton/Jeff	fries	2,677	2,199	82%	595	349	59%	613	375	61%	7%
TOTAL		19,986	14,471	72%	3,499	2,143	61%	4,056	2,533	62%	18%
99 WAYNE - O	THER	126	71	11%	3	3	100%	5	4	80%	33%
WAYNE COUNT	Y TOTAL	60,090	46,029	77%	9,423	5,512	58%	9,290	5,699	61%	3%
OUTSTATE TOTA	AL	62,607	34,005	54%	8,071	3,517	44%	7,593	3,643	48%	4%
STATE TOTALS		122,697	80,034	65%	16,967	9,094	54%	16,883	9,342	55%	3%
PROJECT SITE T	ΓΟΤΑL	4,691	2,807	60%	16,967	9,094	54%	16,883	9,342	55%	3%

^{**} Wayne County Districts have been realigned into Zones VII and VIII as outlined above effective August 2002.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.